

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,103	11/17/2003	Masao Takagi	032099	9771	
38834	7590 03/24/2005		EXAMINER		
WESTERM	IAN, HATTORI, DANI	ZIMMERMAN, JOHN J			
	ECTICUT AVENUE, NW	•			
SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036		1775	_	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(بل		
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/713,103		TAKAGI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		John J. Zimr		1775			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the c	over sheet with the c	correspondence address	;		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ation.  ys, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will epy statute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be ting ry minimum of thirty (30) day xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n .					
2a)□	•	·					
3)□							
-	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
ŕ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election req	uirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				l21(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by						
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	foreign nrigrity unde	r 35 IJ S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f)			
-	All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc			<b>j-(u)</b> 01 (1).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc			ion No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •		e		
	application from the International			ouo			
* :	See the attached detailed Office action fo	•		ed.			
·		2 2 300					
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	l		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date <u>20031117</u> .	,,,,,,	)	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
гар	or Holophinal Date 2003 1 1 11.	O	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/713,103 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

## FIRST OFFICE ACTION

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The <u>Information Disclosure Statement</u> filed with this application has been considered.

An initialed copy of the form PTO-1449 is enclosed with this First Office Action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who

has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 4. Claims 1, 3-5 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zhou (U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0146259 A1).
- Zhou discloses nickel endless belts made by electroforming wherein the crystal orientation ratio  $I_{(200)}/I_{(111)}$  is 3 or more (e.g. see claim 1) and a release layer with an elastic layer therebetween is formed on the belt (e.g. see claim 9). Example 2 in Table 2 shows a belt with crystal orientation ration  $I_{(200)}/I_{(111)}$  of 80 and containing 0.04 wt.% carbon and 0 wt.% Mn.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhou (U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0146259 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/713,103

Page 4 Art Unit: 1775

8. Zhou discloses nickel endless belts made by electroforming wherein the crystal orientation ratio  $I_{(200)}/I_{(111)}$  is 3 or more (e.g. see claim 1) and a release layer with an elastic layer therebetween is formed on the belt (e.g. see claim 9). Zhou's disclosure requiring a crystal orientation ratio of  $I_{(200)}/I_{(111)}$  is 3 or more clearly includes the claimed range of 100 or more. Example 2 in Table 2 which shows a belt with crystal orientation ration I<sub>(200)</sub>/I<sub>(111)</sub> of 80 is clear evidence that Zhou considers crystal ratios much higher than the lower limit of 3 to be his invention. Also in evidence is the showing in Table 2 that higher durability time appears to correspond higher crystal ratio examples. The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portion of the crystal ratio range disclosed by Zhou because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, see In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549.

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additionally cited references serve to further establish the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Zimmerman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1547. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm, M-F. Supervisor Deborah Jones can

Application/Control Number: 10/713,103

Art Unit: 1775

be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ohn J. Zimmerman Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 1775

IJΖ

March 21, 2005